



**The University of Connecticut Rohner Center Awards**

**Distinguished Contributions to Research, Theory, and Practice on Interpersonal  
Acceptance and Rejection**

**Evaluation Guidelines for Theoretical Papers**

Author(s) \_\_\_\_\_

Manuscript Title \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Publication or Preparation \_\_\_\_\_

Source of Publication or Presentation \_\_\_\_\_

Category  Outstanding Paper of the Biennium  
 Outstanding Paper by an Early Career Professional

Reviewer \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

CRITERIA	RATING			COMMENTS
	Yes	P	No	
<b>VALIDITY</b>				
Perspective/framework proceeds from a clearly defined conceptual, theoretical, and/or empirical base in the field of interpersonal acceptance and rejection				
Relationship of perspective/framework to previous work in the field of interpersonal acceptance and rejection is clearly explained				
<b>INTERPRETATIONS/CONCLUSIONS</b>				
Perspective/framework logically explains existing empirical, theoretical, and /or practical generalizations, and offers predictions of new generalizations or suggestions for new continued theory building, theory investigation, and theory application				
Perspective/framework is potentially generalizable to well-defined and relevant universes and populations beyond the boundaries of the particular theories, research, and practices in which it was built and possibly tested				

CRITERIA	RATING			COMMENTS
	Yes	P	No	
<b>WRITING STYLE/ORGANIZATION</b>				
Theoretical perspective/conceptual framework for organizing and integrating information is clearly presented.				
Important terms are clearly defined				
Manuscript is clearly written				
Manuscript is logically organized				
<b>POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTIONS</b>				
Perspective/framework contributes to the field of interpersonal acceptance and rejection				
Perspective/framework sets the stage for or contributes to advancing our understanding of the human condition in sociocultural context				
Perspective/framework offers the potential to guide research and practice in the field of interpersonal acceptance and rejection				
<b>SUMMARY EVALUATION</b>				
<b>Overall Rating</b>				<b>Comments</b>
1. Superior /Outstanding				
2. Very Good				
3. Good				
4. Fair/Average				
5. Below Average				
6. Weak				
7. Unacceptable				

P=Problematic in Rating Column Above