



The University of Connecticut Rohner Center Awards

**Distinguished Contributions to Research, Theory, and Practice on Interpersonal
Acceptance and Rejection**

Evaluation Guidelines for Quantitative Research Studies

Author(s) _____

Manuscript Title _____

Date of Publication or Preparation _____

Source of Publication or Presentation _____

Category Outstanding Paper of the Biennium
 Outstanding Paper by an Early Career Professional

Reviewer _____ Date _____

CRITERIA	RATING			COMMENTS
	Yes	*	No	
VALIDITY				
Problem is clearly stated				
Hypotheses or research question(s) are clearly stated				
Important terms are clearly defined				
Relationship of the problem to previous research is clearly explained				
Research design is appropriate				
Sample is fully described				
Method of sampling is appropriate				
Data-gathering methods or procedures are adequately described and appropriate				
Validity and reliability of the measures used are established				
Appropriate methods are selected to analyze the data				
Methods utilized in analyzing the data are applied correctly				

CRITERIA	RATING			COMMENTS
	Yes	*	No	
INTERPRETATIONS/CONCLUSIONS				
Results of the analyses are presented clearly				
Conclusions are clearly stated				
Conclusions are substantiated by the evidence presented				
Limitations of the study are stated				
WRITING STYLE/ORGANIZATION				
Article is clearly written				
Article is logically organized				
Tone of the article displays an unbiased, impartial attitude.				
POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTIONS				
Research question(s) proceed from a clearly defined conceptual, theoretical, and/or empirical base in the field of interpersonal acceptance and rejection				
Research conclusions contribute to the field of interpersonal acceptance and rejection				
Research conclusions set the stage for or contribute to a sound program of research in the field of interpersonal acceptance and rejection				
SUMMARY EVALUATION				
Overall Rating		Comments		
1. Superior /Outstanding	<input type="checkbox"/>			
2. Very Good	<input type="checkbox"/>			
3. Good	<input type="checkbox"/>			
4. Fair/Average	<input type="checkbox"/>			
5. Below Average	<input type="checkbox"/>			
6. Weak	<input type="checkbox"/>			
7. Unacceptable	<input type="checkbox"/>			

*Criterion isn't clearly met. It's problematic. Explain in Comments.

Last Updated: August 3, 2009